

## COMPARATIVE GASTRIC ULCEROGENIC EFFECTS OF NAPROXIN, FENOPROFEN AND RECTIFIED SPIRIT IN ALBINO RAT

*Umar Draz and Muhammad Zahoor Janjua.*

### ABSTRACT:

A study of comparative ulcerogenicity of naproxen, fenopropfen and rectified spirit was conducted under dissecting as well as laboratory microscope. Different parameters of mucus barrier disruption were studied in detail and a statistical comparison was made between the three drugs used. It was found that under dissecting microscope the difference was non significant but under light microscope naproxen was maximally ulcerogenic followed by rectified spirit and fenopropfen was least ulcerogenic.

### INTRODUCTION:

Peptic ulcer is a conglomerate of heterogenic disorders, which manifests itself as break in the Gastrointestinal (GIT) mucosa. Originally all ulcers in the GIT were believed to be caused by aggressive action of hydrochloric acid and pepsin in the mucosa, and thus they became known as peptic ulcers. This may not be the only cause, there may be lack or defect in the mucosal layer<sup>1</sup>.

The Naproxen and Fenopropfen are phenyl propionic acid derivatives, which have anti-inflammatory analgesic and anti pyretic effect<sup>2</sup>. The rectified spirit is 90% alcohol<sup>3</sup>. It has got few therapeutic uses, but it is used as cheap beverage. It is also depressant of the central nervous system<sup>4</sup>. This study was designed to observe the morphological changes in the gastric mucosa by localizing the site of lesion under dissecting microscope. Then detailed morphological study with the help of light microscope was done to assess the changes in the epithelium and the gastric glands with reference to various secretory cells.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

This study was performed in the Basic Medical Sciences Institute, Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre Karachi. Two non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs (NSAID) used in the study were administered in 1% gum acacia in distilled water by oral intubation in a volume of 1 ml. per 100 grams body weight. The doses were 7.1 grams per kilogram body weight for Naproxen and 32.3 grams per kilogram body weight for Fenopropfen<sup>5</sup>. The Rectified spirit was administered in a dose of 0.5 ml. per 100 grams body weight<sup>6</sup>.

A total of 30 animals used in this study were divided into two groups, Control and Experimental, having 15 animals each with a weight range of 200 to 350 grams. These animals were kept on fasting for 24 hours. Water however was available to them freely. The animals were sacrificed six hours after the treatment.

(1) **Control Group:** They received gum acacia in the same volume as used for ulcerogenic agent.

(2) **Experimental Group:** These animals received ulcerogenic agents only.

The stomach was examined under the dissecting microscope for estimation of

---

From Department of Anatomy, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad  
Umar Daraz

Mohammad Zahoor Janjua, Professor of Anatomy, BMSI, JPMC, Karachi.

median erosion score according to the arbitrary scale of Bonta<sup>7</sup>. Each erosion was given score as given in this table:

Approximate Diameter of Ulcer/Erosion in mm.	Score
Less than 1	0.5
1-2	1.0
2-3	2.0
3-4	4.0
More than 4	8.0
Perforation	12.0

The cumulative score of one group was divided by the number of animals in each group and expressed as median erosion score.

After tissue treatment 6 $\mu$  thick sections were taken and stained with haematoxyline and Eosin (H&E) and Periodic Acid Schiff's reagent (PAS) staining technique.

The mucosal thickness was measured on 10X objective and 8X ocular with the help of an ocular micrometer. The height of surface mucous cells, mucous neck cells and Chief cells were noted under oil emmersion. The number of these cells were recorded in a strip covering in a whole field measuring 150 $\mu$  in width extending from the surface uptill base of the gastric gland. Three such fields were counted in this manner and average count was calculated for each specimen.

#### RESULTS:

The results of this study are given in tables I to X.

**TABLE-I**  
**MEDIAN EROSION SCORE**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
CONTROL	0	0	0
EXPERIMENTAL	4.6 $\pm$ 0.97	3.50 $\pm$ 0.44	4.10 $\pm$ 0.42

Statistical Comparison between

Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p < 0.05$

Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.05$

Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.05$

**TABLE-II**  
**MEAN MUCOSAL THICKNESS ( $\mu$ m) OF THE STOMACH**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
CONTROL	532 $\pm$ 29.77	515 $\pm$ 33.18	548 $\pm$ 29.39
EXPERIMENTAL	386 $\pm$ 14.8	400.8 $\pm$ 17.7	373.6 $\pm$ 11.14

Statistical Comparison between

Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p < 0.001$

Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$

Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$

**TABLE-III**  
**MEAN SURFACE MUCOUS CELL COUNT**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
<b>CONTROL</b>	51.20±3.52	50.60±3.13	52.60±3.82
<b>EXPERIMENTAL</b>	27.13±1.82	31.13±1.43	28.59±1.56

Statistical Comparison between  
 Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p < 0.001$   
 Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.01$   
 Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$

**TABLE-IV**  
**MEAN MUCOUS NECK CELL COUNT**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
<b>CONTROL</b>	29.14±3.09	27.80±2.78	28.20±2.96
<b>EXPERIMENTAL</b>	18.66±0.60	24.99±2.45	24.46±2.89

Statistical Comparison between  
 Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p < 0.001$   
 Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$   
 Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p$ : Non significant

**TABLE V**  
**MEAN PARIETAL CELL COUNT**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
<b>CONTROL</b>	54.00±3.97	51.20±3.39	55.80±2.84
<b>EXPERIMENTAL</b>	59.26±3.54	74.19±5.36	70.19±3.47

Statistical Comparison between  
 Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p < 0.001$   
 Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$   
 Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$

**TABLE-VI**  
**MEAN CHIEF CELL COUNT**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
<b>CONTROL</b>	81.20±6.89	80.40±5.38	77.00 ±6.13
<b>EXPERIMENTAL</b>	55.19±0.80	75.46±2.54	76.06±4.54

Statistical Comparison between  
 Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p < 0.001$   
 Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$   
 Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p$  Non Significant



**TABLE-VII**  
**MEAN HEIGHT ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) OF SURFACE MUCOUS CELLS**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
CONTROL	12.48 $\pm$ 0.72	12.55 $\pm$ 0.74	12.35 $\pm$ 0.57
EXPERIMENTAL	8.09 $\pm$ 0.25	12.32 $\pm$ 0.38	10.68 $\pm$ 0.67

Statistical Comparison between  
 Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p < 0.001$   
 Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$   
 Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.01$

**TABLE-VIII**  
**MEAN HEIGHT ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) OF MUCOUS NECK CELLS**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
CONTROL	8.88 $\pm$ 0.30	8.89 $\pm$ 0.24	9.05 $\pm$ 0.25
EXPERIMENTAL	9.50 $\pm$ 0.37	9.78 $\pm$ 0.22	8.90 $\pm$ 0.42

Statistical Comparison between  
 Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p < 0.05$   
 Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$   
 Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.001$

**TABLE-IX**  
**MEAN SIZE ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) OF THE PARIETAL CELLS**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
CONTROL	12.50 $\pm$ 0.26	12.36 $\pm$ 0.29	12.20 $\pm$ 0.33
EXPERIMENTAL	11.72 $\pm$ 0.17	11.53 $\pm$ 0.26	12.26 $\pm$ 0.26

Statistical Comparison between  
 Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p$ : Non Significant  
 Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.05$   
 Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p < 0.05$

**TABLE-X**  
**MEAN HEIGHT ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) OF THE CHIEF CELLS**

GROUPS	NAPROXEN	FENOPROFEN	RECTIFIED SPIRIT
CONTROL	8.20 $\pm$ 0.33	8.45 $\pm$ 0.27	8.13 $\pm$ 0.27
EXPERIMENTAL	8.88 $\pm$ 0.24	8.88 $\pm$ 0.29	9.07 $\pm$ 0.25

Statistical Comparison between  
 Naproxen and Fenoprofen  $p$ : Non Significant  
 Naproxen and Rectified Spirit  $p$ : Non Significant  
 Fenoprofen and Rectified Spirit  $p$ : Non Significant

## DISCUSSION:

The propionic acid represents the largest chemical class of NSAID. Several of them are being used widely. This paper discusses the observations made on Naproxen and Fenopufen from this group. The rectified spirit used, as a cheap beverage among poor was also included to make a comparison between drugs and commonly used beverage also. Our results show significant parameters of mucous barrier disruption with all the three ulcerogenic agents used as compared to the control.

Naproxen shows most significant ulcerogenicity among the three. It is evident from the significantly more erosion score, mucous cell and parietal cell changes. Our results are in agreement with the observations of AU: Orlicz et al.<sup>8</sup>. They had performed a histological study in rat support the clinical observation of mucosal destruction like ulcerous niche and upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. But AU: Fung et al.<sup>9</sup> had shown in their histological analysis in dogs that there was non significant changes observed under light microscope but moderate effect was observed under electron microscope. This is probably because the rat is less tolerant of NSAID than the dogs. The other reason is that they have taken the biopsies after 0, 1, 2 and 3 hours but we have sacrificed the animals after 6 hours. Therefore our time is almost double as compared to their time period. This finding is in agreement with the findings of AU: Fujee et al.<sup>10</sup>. They observed highly significant gastric damage in rats after 6 hours treatment with fenopufen although this drug is less ulcerogenic in our study as compare to the Naproxen.

We conclude that all the three drugs used produce changes in the gastric mucosa with the most damage produced by the naproxen followed by rectified spirit and the fenopufen. Most NSAID inhibit prostaglandin cyclooxygenase activity, which results in a prostaglandin deficiency at tissue level that is responsible for the gastric damage.

## REFERENCES

1. Held, WI, Goldbloom, A.A. Peptic ulcer its diagnosis and treatment. 1st edition, Springfield, Charles C. Thomas Publishers, 1961, pp3
2. Reynold,JEF, Martindale. The extra-Pharmacopoeia, 28 ed. London Pharmaceutical press, 1982.pp 253-265.
3. British Pharmacopoeia. Vol.I, London her Majesy's Stationary office,1980,pp 561.
4. Crossland J, Lewis's Pharmacology;5<sup>th</sup> ed. London Churchill Livingstone, 1980 pp 561.
5. Diamantis W, Melton J, Sofia RD, Colfalo, VB, Comparative gastric ulcerogenic effects of mescelazone 5-chlorosalicytic acid and other non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs following acute and repeated oral administration to rat. *toxicol.App.Pharmacol.* 1980;52:454-461.
6. VanKolfshoten AA, Zandberg Vice-Principal, Jagar IP and Noordwijkje JV, Protection by paracetamol against various gastric irritants in the rat. *Toxicol.Appl.Pharmacol.* 1983;69:37-42
7. Bonta LI. A study of effect of some glucocorticoids and ACTH on artificially undued gastric ulcer of the rat. *Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn.* 1961;132:142-163.
8. Orlicz S G, Gabka M, . Use of prostaglandin inhibitor, 2-(6-methoxy 2 naphthoyle) propiionic acid, with regard to morphological and enzymatic changes of gastric mucosa. *Z. Mickrosk. Anat. Forsch.* 1990;104(2):258-264.
9. Fung WP, Papadimitriou JM, Metz L. Effect of acetylsalicylic acid, indomathacin, phenylbutazone, paracetamol, Ibufufen and Naproxen on canine gastric mucosa. *Ann.Acad.Med.Singapore.* 1981. Jul;10(3):389-393.
10. Fujii A, Kubeyama N, Kobagash S, Namiki Y, Tamura T. Time coverage study of gastric damage in rat by anti inflammatory drugs using a gastrocope and its quantification. *Jpn. J.Pharmacol.* 1988 Nov;48(3):317-22.