

## PERITONEAL LAVAGE — ITS ROLE IN IMPROVING DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY OF INTRA-ABDOMINAL TRAUMA

*Tariq Mufti, Khalid Khan, Sajjad A. Malik, Tahir Mushtaq*

### SUMMARY :

Out of forty cases admitted with abdominal injuries eleven were subjected to diagnostic peritoneal lavage due to inconclusive clinical findings, Ten out of eleven cases gave true positive or negative findings giving high index of reliability. no untoward effects of the procedure were recorded.

### INTRODUCTION :

Abdominal trauma takes a sizeable portion of all trauma cases admitted to general surgical units. A significant intra abdominal injury leading to solid visceral damage, bleeding vessels and gastro intestinal tear may lead to grave morbidity and mortality. Diagnosis of significant intraabdominal trauma requiring laparotomy, in many cases, is a dilemma. Specially so, when the patient is unconscious. although the general principle to proceed with laparotomy in doubtful cases holds good, however, an attempt to achieve more accuracy in prediction is certainly desired.<sup>1</sup> Too much trust cannot be placed on routine diagnostic radiography as in majority of cases it is negative.<sup>2</sup> Even C.T. Scan ( a facility not readily available, specially, in our country) is considered complimentary to peritoneal lavage.<sup>3</sup> Four quadrant peritoneal tap practiced since long time now, is of limited value because of both false negative and false positive results<sup>2</sup> in addition to hazards of damage to viscera. Diagnostic peritoneal lavage has replaced the peritoneal tap in all the developed countries. In some American clinics it is used as routine in all abdominal trauma cases.<sup>2</sup> However, most authorities advocate it in patients with equivocal clinical findings or in unconscious patients with multiple injuries.<sup>4,7</sup>

Inspite of its being a recognised diagnostic procedure medical institutes of many of the under developed countries including Pakistan still do not practice it in routine. There has not been any available published data on this subject in Pakistani medical literature todate. One of the reasons of avoiding this practice is apprehension of introducing outside infection into peritoneal cavity or iatrogenic trauma to intra-abdominal structures. Following study was conducted to establish the reliability of diagnostic peritoneal lavage in blunt abdominal trauma in addition to assessment of any complications (e.g. peritonitis, trauma etc.) consequent upon the procedure.

---

From Ayub Medical College, Department of Surgery, Abbottabad.  
TARIQ MUFTI, FRCS (Edin), Professor,  
KHALID KHAN, FRCS (Ire), Assistant Professor,  
SAJJAD A. MALIK, Registrar,  
TAHIR MUSHTAQ, TMO, Surgical "C" Unit.

## MATERIAL AND PROCEDURE :

40 patients were admitted in surgical C unit. D.H.Q. Abbottabad between Oct. 1992 — Feb. 1993 with abdominal trauma. They fell into three groups.

Group-1: Included 13 patients and had definite signs and symptoms of abdominal trauma. So they underwent laparotomy straight away. The signs which were regarded as definite indication for surgery were (a) Gas under diaphragm. (b) Visible viscera lying outside. (c) Generalized tenderness. (d) Generalized tenderness with silent abdomen.

Group-II:- Comprised of 16 patients who had abdominal trauma but had none of the above signs arousing suspicion, so they were treated conservatively.

Group-III: comprised of all patients with equivocal signs e.g. localized rigidity etc or unconscious patient with multiple injuries who were haemodynamically unstable without any abdominal tenderness or where the other organ injury could not be blamed for significant blood loss. All patients in this group had diagnostic peritoneal lavage done.

As peritoneal dialysis catheter is not available freely so Normal saline drip set was used instead. However, basic technique did not differ from the one described in standard texts.<sup>6</sup> Patient's abdomen was shaved, urinary catheter was put in and after injecting local anaesthetic over linea alba a little below umbilicus, a mid line small incision was made upto peritoneum. The tip of drip set taken in between medium sized artery forces and thrust through the incision of the peritoneum. One litre of normal saline let to run inside the peritoneal cavity and then the bag was left on the floor to let the fluid run back. The criteria upon which a peritoneal lavage was declared positive were (a) Grossly blood stained or turgid fluid (b) Bile or feces in the fluid. (c) Hb more than 1G/lit. (d) WBC count >500/mm.<sup>3</sup> (e) Not be able to read through the fluid returned from peritoneal cavity.

Although many more diagnostic criteria are being used in this respect<sup>9</sup> but we limited ourselves to above five because of easy interpretation and ready availability of tests.

## RESULTS & DISCUSSION :

Table-I indicates the split of 40 cases according to types of abdominal injury.

**Table-I      DISTRIBUTION OF ABDOMINAL INJURIES.**

Types of injury	No. of Cases	%age
Blunt trauma	20	50
Fire arm	18	45
Stab	2	5

The occurrence of blunt trauma and penetrating injuries are equally poised.

11 out of 40 cases which had equivocal clinical signs were subjected to diagnostic peritoneal lavage and were put in group-III. mean age of patients was 23.82 years ranging from 4 to 76 years. Male to female ratio was 4.5 : 1 The split of the cases in this group according to the cause of injury is given in the table-II

**Table-II DISTRIBUTION OF CASES ACCORDING TO CAUSE OF INJURY IN PERITONEAL LAVAGE GROUP.**

Causes of injury	No. of Cases	%age
Road traffic accidents	7	64
Assaults	2	18
Falls	2	18

Out of these 11 patients 8 had positive lavage while 3 were lavage negative. All 8 positive cases underwent laparotomy. 7 out of these revealed significant organ damage intraperitoneally, as shown per table-III.

**Table-III DISTRIBUTION OF POSITIVE CASES ACCORDING TO INTRAPERITONEAL ORGAN DAMAGE.**

Organ damage	No. of Cases	%age
1. Solid organs		
Spleen	3	37.5
Liver	1	12.5
2. Only gut perforation	2	25.0
3. Gut perforation and Mesenteric vessels damage	1	12.5
4. No organ damage	1	12.5

One out of these 8 cases showed no organ damage and was considered as false positive. This incidence of false positive tallys with the reports of other workers.<sup>5,10</sup>

There were no false negatives. All the negative cases had uneventful recovery from the point of view of abdominal injury. One case died because of other organ injuries later on. There was no evidence of intraperitoneal sepsis or organ trauma due to lavage in any of the cases. The reliability of the procedure using binomial distribution method proved to be significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). This reliability of false negative is also in accordance with previous work done on the subject.<sup>11</sup>

## CONCLUSION :

Peritoneal lavage has a significant role in enhancing the preoperative diagnostic accuracy while making decisions of laparotomy for cases of abdominal trauma. Its practice under sterile conditions does not add any risks of intraperitoneal infection or organ trauma. Its routine use in doubtful cases or unconscious patient may help in avoiding unnecessary laparotomies.

## REFERENCES :

1. Odling Smee We and Crockard A. Trauma care. London, Toronto, Sydney, 1991.
2. Dudley HAF. Emergency surgery. Bristol, 1977.
3. Storkey AJ et al. The complementary roles of diagnostic peritoneal lavage and C.T. in the evaluation of blunt abdominal trauma, surgery. 1989; 106(4) : 794-800.
4. Rivetti R. et al. Usefulness of diagnostic peritoneal lavage in closed injuries of the abdomen. *Minerva Cir.* 1985, 15; 40 (17) : 1165-6.
5. Visvana than R. et al. Diagnostic peritoneal lavage : accuracy in predicting necessary laparotomy following blunt and peritrating trauma (Letter). *J. Trauma* 1992; 32:671-2.
6. Cocks RA et al. How to perform a diagnostic peritoneal lavage. *British J. Hosp. Medicine* 1990; 44 (2) 122-3
7. Loven H., Benjamin CI et al. Diagnostic peritoneal lavage in blunt abdominal trauma. *J. Trauma*, 1975; 15:854.
8. Soyka JM et al. diagnostic peritoneal lavage : an isolated WBC count greater than or equal to 500/mm predictive of intra abdominal injury requiring celiotomy in blunt trauma patients. *J. Frauma* 1990; 30 (7) : 874-9.
9. McDonald MA et al. Protein electrophoresis of diagnostic peritoneal lavage in peritrating abdominal trauma a marker of significant injury. *Br. J. Surg.* 1989, Dec; 76 (12) : 308-10.
10. Cuschieri A. The acute abdomen and disorders of the peritoneal cavity in essential surgical practice (Cuschieri A, Giles G.R. Moosa A. R. ed). 1988 : 2: 1234, Wright, London, Boston, Wellington.
11. John T.G. et al. Liver Trauma : a 10 year experience. *B.J. Surg.* 1992: 79: 1352-56.

---

## CORRIGENDUM

The address of Mr. Jaffar Khan, Co-author in the article "Pathogenic Organisms in the Environment of a Teaching Hospital". *JAMC* Vol. 5 No. 1, Jan-June, 1992 was incorrectly given. It should read :

Jaffar Khan, M.Sc, M.Phil. (Microbiology)  
Ex-staff member of Pathology Department,  
Presently working in Department of Community Medicine,  
Khyber Medical College, Peshawar